```
Angust 2016
3:58 p.m.
 (1) Let G be a group. Show that if the automorphism group Aut(G) of G is
     cyclic, then G is abelian. [Hint: Consider the map G -> Aut(G) given
     by g \mapsto \chi g, where \chi g(x) = g \chi g^{-1} for all x \in G. What is the kernel
     of this map?]
 Pf: Let \chi: G → Aut(G) be the homomorphism described in the hint,
       so &g is conjugtion by g.
       To see that & is a homomorphism:
       \chi_{gh}(x) = gh x (gh)^{-1} = gh x h^{-1}g^{-1} = g\chi_h(x)g^{-1} = (\chi_g \circ \chi_h)(x)
       We see that Ker(x) = { g ∈ G : xg = id. }
                             = g \in G: g \times g^{-1} = x \text{ for all } x \in G^3
                             = {geG: gx = xg for all xeG}
        So ker(x) = Z(G), the center of G.
        From the gp. isomorphism thms., we have \frac{G}{2CG} \cong 8(G) \subseteq Aut(G)
        Since Aut(6) is cyclic, so is its subgp x(6), so we conclude that
        G/Z(G) is cyclic. This implies that G is abelian.
        To see why, let x, y & G and let g & G s.t. g generates 9/7CG).
        Then we can write x = x'g^m and y = y'g^n where x', y' \in \mathcal{Z}(G).
        Then xy = x^1g^m y^1g^n
                   = y'x'g^mg^n , y' \in \mathcal{Z}(G)
= y'g^mg^nx' , x' \in \mathcal{Z}(G)
                   = y'gngm x', powers of G commute
                   = y x .
2 (a) Let G= {x1,..., xn3 be a finite (multiplicative) abelian group of order n. Show
      that if G has no element of order 2, then x; x2 ···· xn = 1 and if G has a
      unique element x of order 2, then X1:X2:...: Xn = X.
  Pf: In either case, note that if an elt. x; is not self-inverse, then both x; and
      its inverse appear in the product x_1 \cdots x_n.
      For each pair (x_i, x_i^{-1}) of elfs. that are not self-inverse, delete both xi and
      x; from the product.
       This does not change the value of the product blc the gp. is abelian and
       X; X_i^{-1} = 1.
       The only elts. that remain after this process is applied are those that are
       self-inverse (i.e., that have order dividing 2).
       · If there is no elt. of order 2, then the product has value 1.
       · If there is a unique element x of order 2, the product is just x.
 (b) For each prime number p, use (a) for a well-chosen G (depending on p) to show
     that (p-1)! \equiv -1 \mod p.
  Pf: For each prime p, choose G = (Z/(p)).
       In the case p=2, there is really nothing to show, since
        (2-1)! \equiv 1! \equiv -1 \mod 2.
       For p>2, notice that G contains an elt. of order 2, namely -1
       (which is diff. than | b/c p > 2).
       Because \mathbb{Z}/(p) is a field, the equation x^2=1 has at most two solus,
       and we see that both land - are these solns.
       Since 1 has order 1, we conclude that G has a unique element of
       order 2.
       Applying part(a), we see 1\cdot 2\cdot \cdots \cdot (p-1) \equiv (p-1)! \equiv -1 \mod p.
(3) (a) Show every group of order 72.112 is abelian.
  Pf: Let G be any gp. of order 72. 112.
       By the first Sylow thm., we know there exists a 7-sylow subgp.
        denoted P s.t. |P|=72=49, and an 11-Sylow subgp. denoted Q
        s.t. |Q| = 11^2 = 121.
       By the third Sylow thm.,
            |n_7| |1|^2 = |2| and |n_7| = | \mod 7 \implies |n_7| = 1
            n_{11} \mid 7^2 = 49 and n_{11} = 1 \mod 11 \Rightarrow n_{11} = 1
      Therefore, P is the unique 7-Sylow subgp. of G. => P4G
       Q is the unique 11-Sylow subgp. of G. => Q a G
     Notice that PNQ must be trivial, since by Lagrange's theorem, it's order
      is a common factor of IPI and |a1, which are coprime (72, 112)=1.
      Since P, Q are normal in G, we know PQ is a subgp. of G, and its
      order is given by |PQ| = |P||Q| = 7^2 \cdot |1^2|
       Since |PQ| = |G|, we conclude that PQ = G.
      The direct product recognition thm. tells us that G \cong P \times Q
       Since P and Q are normal in G with PAQ trivial, and PQ=G.
       Thus G is the direct product of P and Q, so it suffices to
       Show that P and Q are both abelian.
       Groups of order p² are abelian, where p is any prime.
       |P| = 7^2 \Rightarrow P is abelian. z \Rightarrow G is abelian. |Q| = ||z|^2 \Rightarrow Q is abelian
     Thus, every gp. of order 72. 112 is abelian.
  (b) use (a) to classify all groups of order 72.112 up to isomorphism.
   Pt: By the Fundamental thm. of finite abelian grs., we can write any
      group G of order 72.112 (which by part (a) must be abelian) as the
       direct product of cyclic groups: G \cong \mathbb{Z}/(n_1) \times \mathbb{Z}/(n_2) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/(n_k),
       where each ni ≥ 2 is an integer and
           (1) n_{i+1} \mid n_i for i=1,\ldots,k-1 and
           (2) n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot n_K = 7^2 \cdot 11^2
      The ni are called the invariant factors of G.
      In the above notation, n, must be divisible by each distinct factor
      of 161, so 77 | n.
      If n_1 = 7^2 \cdot 11^2, we obtain the gp. 4/(7^2 \cdot 11^2)
       If n_1 = 7 \cdot 11^2, then n_2 = 7 and we get \frac{\pi}{(7 \cdot 11^2)} \times \frac{\pi}{(4)}.
       If n_1 = 7^2 \cdot 11, then n_2 = 11 and we get \mathbb{Z}/(7^2 \cdot 11) \times \mathbb{Z}/(11).
       If n_1 = 77, then n_2 = 77 and we get \mathbb{Z}/(77) \times \mathbb{Z}/(77).
       Since 77/11, we have listed all possibilities.
(4) Let K be a field and let R be the subring of the polynomial ring K[X] given by all polynomials with X-coefficient equal to 0. That is, R = \{a_0 + a_1 \times + a_2 \times^2 + \dots + a_n \times^n \in K[X]:
    \alpha_1 = 0 \zeta
 (a) Prove x^2 and x^3 are irreducible, but not prime in R. You may use that K[x] is
     a UFD.
 Pf: Since K is a field, we have that deg(fg) = deg(f) + deg(g) whenever
      f,g are nonzero polynomials in K[x].
       The same identity holds for nonzero figeR.
      · To see that x^2 is irred., notice that x^2 = fg implies that deg(f) + deg(g) = 2. Since R contains no polynomials of deg. 1,
        we conclude that one of fig has deg. O and the other has deg. 2.
       WLOG, assume deg(f) = 0, i.e., f EKx.
       But then f is a unit of R (R contains K as a subning).
       Hence any factorization of x^2 = fg must be a product of a unit and
       an associate of x^2. \Rightarrow x^2 is irred. in R.
      A similar argument shows x^3 is irred. Let x^3 = fg, then
       WLOG deg(f) = 0 and deg(g) = 3 b/c no poly. has deg(1).
       So t is a unit. x3 is irred.
     · To see that x2 is not prime, notice that x2 divides x6 because
       \chi_{e} = \chi_{e} \times \chi_{r}
       However, we can write X^6 = X^3 \cdot X^3, and X^2 / X^3 in R b/c X^3 / X^2
       must have deg 1 and R has no elts. of deg. 1.
    Similarly, x^3 \mid x^6 \quad b/c \quad x^6 = x^3 \cdot x^3, but x^6 = x^2 \cdot x^4 and x^3 \nmid x^2
       b/c deg(x^3) = 3 > deg(x^2) = 2 and x^3/x^4 b/c x^2/x^3 has deg 1 \notin R.
    · we've used the fact that the of K[x] are exactly the nonzero
      elements of K. Since R contains all the units of K[x], the units
      of R are exactly the units of K[x].
 (b) Use (a) to show that the ideal I of R consisting of all polynomials in R with
     constant term 0 is not principal.
 Pf: I must contain both x2 and x3.
       If I is to be principal, any generator must be a common factor of
       X2 and X3. But each is irred., and they are not associate (b)c they
       have diff. degrees).
       Hence, their only common factors are units. But I contains no units,
        ble each unit in R has nonzero constant term.
5 Let A be a nonzero ring such that a2 = a for all a & A. (Examples include
    Z/2Z×···× Z/2Z, but these are not the only ones.)
 (a) Show A has characteristic 2.
    Pf: Write 2 for 1+1. Then 2^2 = 2 by the defining property of A.
        But we also have 2^2 = (1+1)(1+1) = (1+1)+(1+1) = 2+2 by using the
       distributive law.
        Hence, 2+2=2, so that 1+1=2=0.
        Hence, char(A) = 2.
                                                        口
 (b) If A is finite, show its size is a power of 2.
 Pf: For the purposes of this part, forget the multiplicative structure of A,
     and consider A as only an abelian additive group.
     By Cauchy's theorem, if IAI is divisible by a prime p, then A
     contains an elt. of order p. But every elt. of A has order dividing 2,
     since a + a = 0 (this follows from part ca)).
     Hence if p > 2, p does not divide |A|. Hence the only prime factor of |A| is 2, so |A| is a power of 2.
 (c) Show every prime ideal in A is maximal.
   Pf: First we show that A is commutative.
        Let x, y & A. We see
       (X+y)^2 = X^2 + Xy + yx + y^2 and (x+y)^2 = x + y, so combining these
       two eqns., xy + yx = 0.
       But as in part (a), every elt. of A is its own additive inverse, so xy = yx.
       Hence A is commutative.
```

We will use the following two facts about comm.nings R in the rest of the arg.:

Now let I be a prime ideal of A. Then A/I is an integral domain.

Since A/I is a domain, we have cancellation, so if a \$0 mod I,

It follows that A/I has two elts., O and I (we note that A + I by

It is clear that I is a unit, so each nonzero elt. of A/I is a unit,

Pf: We know conjugation by a fixed elt. of So is an automorphism.

**♂** 

· an ideal IOR is prime iff R/I is a domain.

Since a2= a for every a = A, we know a2 = a mod I.

defn. of prime ideal, so A/I contains more than I est.).

· an ideal I ≤ R is maximal iff R/I is a field.

we conclude that a = 1 mod I.

i.e., A/I is a field. => I is maximal.

6 Give examples as requested, with justification.

(a) An automorphism of So with order 3.

Let 4 denote conj. by (123).

are integers other than ±1.

In a PID, an elt. is prime iff it's irreducible.

prime. Then  $K = F_2[x]/(x^2+x+1)$  is a field.

us to write any elt. of K as a+bx.

(d) An infinite field of characteristic 3.

Consider the field extn. If 3(x).

Pf: It, has characteristic 3.

Since it is quadratic, it is irred. if it has a root in Fz.

In  $H_3(x)$ , we know  $n \cdot l = 0$  iff  $n \cdot l = 0$  in  $H_3$  iff  $3 \mid n$ .

Hence the char of the rational function field Iz(x) is 3.

This tells us that F3(x) has characteristic 3.

We daim that x2+x+1 is irred in F\_[x].

 $\chi^{10}-2$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  are  $\pm 1$ .

Pf: Let F2 be the integers mod 2.

Thus  $|\varphi| = 3$ .

Pf: Take X10-2.

(c) A field of Size 4.

quadratic.

We conclude that I is a maximal ideal.

Then & has order dividing 3, because

(b) An irreducible polynomial of degree 10 in Z(X).

 $\psi^{3}(\sigma) = (123)^{3}\sigma(321)^{3} = \sigma$  for each  $\sigma \in S_{5}$ .

So it suffices to show q is not the identity.

We see  $\varphi(12) = (123)(12)(321) = (32) \neq (12)$ .

This is Eisenstein at 2, so it is irred. as an elt. of Q[x].

Now suppose  $x^{10}-2=g(x)h(x)$  for some  $g(x),h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , g(x),h(x) both not units of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

We know at least one of g(x), h(x) must be a unit in Q[x]

(otherwise we would contradict the irreducibility of x"-2 in Q[x]).

The only units of Q[x] belong to Z[x] and are not units of Z[x]

But this is a contradiction, b/c the only integer factors of

Then It\_[x] is a PID, so its maximal ideals are exactly its prime ideals.

Since  $0^2+0+1\neq 0$  and  $1^2+1+1=1$ , we know  $x^2+x+1$  is irred and hence

It is clear that 0,1,x, and x+1 are distinct elts. of  $F_2[x]/(x^2+x+1)$ ;

certainly the diff. of no two of these polynomials is divisible by a

To see that it contains only 4 elts, notice that the relation  $x^2 = x+1$  allows